```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                   EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
                       - - - X
 3
       UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        18-CR-204(NGG)
 4
                                        U.S. Courthouse
                                        Brooklyn, New York
5
             -against-
6
                                        TRANSCRIPT OF
                                        CURCIO HEARING
7
8
       CLARE BRONFMAN,
                                        March 19, 2019
                                        4:30 p.m.
9
              Defendant.
10
    BEFORE:
11
                    HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS, U.S.D.J.
12
    APPEARANCES:
13
    For the Government:
                              RICHARD DONOGHUE, ESQ.
                              United States Attorney
14
                              271 Cadman Plaza East
                              Brooklyn, New York 11201
                              BY: MOIRA KIM PENZA, ESQ.
15
                                   TANYA HAJJAR, EŚQ.
                                   Assistant U.S. Attorneys
16
17
18
    For the Defendant
    as Curcio counsel:
                              DONNA R. NEWMAN, ESQ.
19
20
                              MARK J. GERAGOS, ESQ.
21
22
    Court Reporter:
                         Holly Driscoll, CSR, FCRR
                         Chief Court Reporter
                         225 Cadman Plaza East
23
                         Brooklyn, New York 11201
24
                         (718) 613-2274
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
    produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript.
```

		2
1	THE CLERK: Criminal cause for a Curcio hearing	
2	Counsel, state your appearances	
3	MS. PENZA: Moira Penza and Tanya Hajjar for the	
4	United States. Good afternoon, Your Honor.	
5	MS. HAJJAR: Good afternoon.	
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	
7	MS. NEWMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Donna R.	
8	Newman for Clare Bronfman as Curcio counsel.	
9	THE COURT: Welcome, and thank you very much for	
10	accepting the assignment, Ms. Newman.	
11	MS. NEWMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.	
12	MR. GERAGOS: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Mark	
13	Geragos, G-E-R-A-G-O-S, with Ms. Bronfman as well.	
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon to you.	
15	And good afternoon to you, Ms. Bronfman.	
16	THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.	
17	THE COURT: All right. This is a Curcio hearing,	
18	another one. I'm going to ask the clerk to swear you in so	
19	that when I ask you questions, your answers will be under	
20	oath.	
21	(Defendant sworn by the courtroom deputy.)	
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. First of all, thank	
23	you for making the adjustment in the schedule as I had a civil	
24	trial that is in the concluding moments.	
25	MS. PENZA: Of course, Your Honor.	

THE COURT: Let me take a moment at the outset to explain what we're doing here and why. Ms. Bronfman one of your retained attorneys, Mark Geragos, is the father of one of the attorneys for your co-defendant, Keith Raniere. This may create an actual or a potential conflict of interest between you and Mr. Geragos.

As a defendant in a criminal case, you have the right under our Constitution to be represented by a lawyer whose only loyalty is to you and who is not subject to any force, influence or consideration that might interfere with undivided loyalty to you. The Court has a duty to make sure that you understand your right to the undivided loyalty of your lawyer. The Court also has an independent interest in regulating the conduct of lawyers and in ensuring the integrity of the proceedings before it. Do you understand all that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You have right to choose your own counsel but before you decide whether to proceed with Mr. Geragos, you need to understand the nature of the possible conflict of interest between you and Mr. Geragos and his daughter. Moreover, there are some conflicts of interest that are so serious that they require the Court to disqualify a lawyer. The Court is obligated to make sure that the possible conflict between you and your retained counsel does not

4 require the Court to disqualify him. 1 Ms. Bronfman, if at any time during my questioning 2 3 you want to speak with your attorneys, tell me and you'll be 4 given that opportunity, do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Bronfman, is your mind clear 6 7 as you stand here today? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, thank you. 9 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has appointed Donna 10 Newman to serve as your Curcio counsel and discuss independently of your retained counsel the potential conflicts 11 12 arising from Mr. Geragos's representation of you. 13 Have you consulted with Ms. Newman regarding whether 14 the relationship between your retained attorney, Mark Geragos, and an attorney for your co-defendant, Keith Raniere, presents 15 16 any potential conflicts of interest? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Newman, have you had that 19 discussion with your client? 20 MS. NEWMAN: Yes, I have. 21 THE COURT: Have you answered all of her questions 22 about any potential conflict? 23 MS. NEWMAN: Yes, I have. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So, I'm just going to ask you a 25 few questions, Ms. Bronfman. How did you choose Mark Geragos

5 1 as your attorney? THE DEFENDANT: I researched online different 2 3 attorneys and then I asked those three different attorneys 4 that I asked to meet with and Mr. Geragos was one of the 5 three. THE COURT: Oh, so this is like online dating. 6 We 7 now do everything online. 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: But you were satisfied having found him 10 online, looking at his background --THE DEFENDANT: 11 Correct. 12 THE COURT: -- and his experience and then meeting 13 with him that he was the best person of the ones that you 14 considered to hire? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 16 THE COURT: Is that it? 17 Correct, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 18 THE COURT: He was not recommended by a friend or a 19 colleague or a relative? 20 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Very interesting. Okay. 22 MR. GERAGOS: Kind of like your quiz show lawyer. I 23 won't get into that. 24 THE COURT: You're not planning to be on a guiz show 25 tonight, are you?

6 MR. GERAGOS: No, I'm not but I may turn it on just 1 2 to watch since now I'm curious as to whether he won. 3 THE COURT: It's been an interesting day. 4 MR. GERAGOS: I bet. THE COURT: Ms. Bronfman, have you received any 5 inducements, promises or threats with regard to hiring 6 7 Mr. Geragos? 8 THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't, Your Honor. THE COURT: 9 Okay. Was Keith Raniere or any of Keith 10 Raniere's attorneys involved in your decision to terminate 11 Susan Necheles? 12 No, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 13 THE COURT: Have you received any inducements, 14 promises or threats with regard to terminating Susan Necheles? 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Your prior counsel stated that 17 you will not be paying any additional legal fees for Keith 18 Raniere or other defendants once the trust that you created is 19 depleted, is that still true? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, that's correct. 21 THE COURT: To the extent that you and Mr. Raniere 22 jointly incur legal fees, for example, the costs of private 23 investigators, briefs that your attorneys draft but that 24 Raniere joins, the costs of discovery, vendors, etc., do you 25 pay those in full yourself separately from the trust you

	7
1	created and funded which has paid for your co-defendant's
2	legal fees?
3	THE DEFENDANT: It's separated out.
4	THE COURT: Separated out meaning?
5	THE DEFENDANT: I pay for only my portion.
6	THE COURT: Of it?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Of what's used, correct.
8	THE COURT: And anything else would be paid for, to
9	the extent funds were available, from the irrevocable trust?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Or from other funds having nothing to do
12	with you?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
14	THE COURT: Okay, that's good to know.
15	Are you satisfied with the services of your
16	attorneys thus far in this case?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that in every
19	criminal case, including this one, the defendant is entitled
20	to the assistance of counsel whose loyalty to him or her is
21	undivided and who is not subject to any force or consideration
22	that might in any way intrude upon the attorney's loyalty to
23	his or her client's interests?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. The New York Rules of

8 Professional Conduct state that lawyers in this state must 1 2 adhere to -- that the rules that lawyers must adhere to 3 recognizes that familial relationships like the father-4 daughter relationship between your attorney, Mark Geragos, and a lawyer for your co-defendant may create a significant risk 5 of conflict that would undermine your right to conflict-free 6 7 counsel in this criminal matter, do you understand that --8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: -- the concept? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. As a result, those Rules of 12 Professional Conduct ordinarily prohibit lawyers with a close 13 familial relationship from representing parties in the same 14 matter unless the parties have knowingly and voluntarily consented to the representation. You do not need to 15 16 understand the Rules of Professional Conduct but I want to 17 make sure that you understand the risk posed to your 18 constitutional right for conflict-free representation if, as 19 here, close family members are representing different parties 20 to the same matter, do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Because one of your attorneys, Mark 23 Geragos, is related to an attorney for your co-defendant, 24 Keith Raniere, there may be a significant risk that she may 25 inadvertently or intentionally disclose matters to your

9 co-defendant's attorneys that should be kept confidential; do 1 2 you understand that there could be, you know, dinner table talk where some information might inadvertently be mentioned, 3 4 I don't know if they ever have dinner and I'm not going to ask Mr. Geragos whether he ever has dinner with his daughter but 5 it's always a possibility. 6 7 Obviously Mr. Geragos's daughter, Terry --MR. GERAGOS: 8 Teny. 9 THE COURT: Tenv? 10 MR. GERAGOS: T-E-N-Y. 11 THE COURT: -- has become an attorney just like her 12 dad and so I'm sure he's very proud of his daughter, they may 13 talk together, they may go to dinner and they may chat and I 14 just want you to understand that even something innocent and inadvertent could affect your right to independent counsel in 15 this case, do you understand that? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: I understand that, yes, thank you, 18 Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Additionally, Mr. Geragos's, 20 relationship to his daughter may interfere with his loyalty to 21 you and the exercise of professional judgment in your 22 interest, do you understand? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. THE COURT: As a result of this familial 24 25 relationship, your lawyer may be influenced to advise you to

do things that are in your co-defendant's best interest but not in yours, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: Or as a result of this familial relationship, your lawyer may be influenced to do things in his daughter's best interest and not in your best interest, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: For example, Mr. Geragos may be influenced by a desire to avoid his daughter facing reputational damage by employing or not employing a legal strategy that could hurt his daughter's client but would be in your best interest, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As another example because it has been represented that the trust that is paying Mr. Raniere's legal fees is nearly depleted and that Raniere's attorneys will be trying this case without full compensation, it may be in Mr. Geragos's interest to keep you in the case longer than would otherwise be in your interest so that his daughter's firm does not have to bear the cost of legal services that you are currently paying for as part of a joint defense, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: For example, to the extent you currently

bear the cost of legal services that his daughter's firm would otherwise be providing for free, Mr. Geragos may be incentivized to have you remain in the case even if it is not in your best interest; that's a possibility, right?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand the concept.

THE COURT: Have you consulted with Ms. Newman about the risks associated with this potential conflict of interest?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, thank you.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

Are you satisfied with the advice that Ms. Newman has given you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me give you some examples about the ways in which your attorney's ability to represent you might be affected by the fact that he has a close familial relationship with an attorney representing your co-defendant.

This could affect the way that your lawyers consider and advise you whether and when you should plead guilty, whether you should seek to cooperate with the government, what defenses you should raise, whether you should testify at trial, which witnesses should be cross-examined, what questions they should be asked, which witnesses should be

called and what other evidence to offer on your behalf, what arguments to make on your behalf to the jury, what arguments to make to the Court and what facts to bring to the Court's attention before trial, during trial or, if you are convicted, at your sentencing.

So, tell me in your own words your understanding of the potential conflict of interest that we are discussing here.

THE DEFENDANT: That because of the familial relationship between Ms. Geragos and Mr. Geragos, the question is whether he will be representing me and my interest alone.

THE COURT: Okay.

Do you understand that you have the right to object to your attorney's continued representation of you based on the existence of a potential conflict of interest?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: It is important that you understand that no one can predict with any certainty the course that this case will take or how this conflict might affect it.

What is your understanding of the right to effective assistance of counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: That he has -- my rights are his only priority.

	13
1	THE COURT: His only priority?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
3	THE COURT: Right, that's right.
4	Is there anything that I have said that you wish to
5	have explained further?
6	THE DEFENDANT: No, thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	I have given you the opportunity to think about what
9	you have been told and to talk with your counsel other than to
10	your attorney. Do you need any more additional time to
11	consult with Ms. Newman privately?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No, thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	Given your attorney's familial relationship with the
15	lawyer for your co-defendant and that it may adversely affect
16	your defense, do you still believe it is in your best interest
17	to proceed with Mr. Geragos?
18	THE DEFENDANT: I do, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: So, is that your wish?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Do you understand that by continuing in
22	this fashion with your attorneys, you are waiving your right
23	to be represented by an attorney who has no potential conflict
24	of interest in this regard?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Correct, I do understand that, thank

14 1 you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 Are you knowingly and voluntarily waiving your 4 right to conflict-free representation with respect to 5 Mr. Geragos's relationship with Teny Geragos who is an attorney for Keith Raniere. 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am, thank you, Your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: Do you agree to waive any 10 post-conviction argument on appeal or otherwise that by virtue of your attorney's familial relationship with a 11 lawyer for your co-defendant you were denied effective 12 13 assistance of counsel? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 Is there anything else that I should be discussing 17 with your client, Ms. Newman? 18 MS. NEWMAN: No. Thank you very much, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Anything else that I failed to inquire 20 about in this proceeding? 21 MS. PENZA: No, Your Honor. 22 Anything else from you, Mr. Geragos? THE COURT: 23 MR. GERAGOS: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Well, thank you for coming in. I think 25 I've completed the seven Curcio hearings that I've been asked

```
15
    to conduct.
                 That's a record in one case.
1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much.
 3
               THE COURT: I do want to thank Ms. Newman for
 4
    stepping up and handling this.
               MS. NEWMAN: Thank you, Your Honor, not a problem.
 5
 6
               THE COURT:
                           I really appreciate it.
 7
               MR. GERAGOS: As do we. Thank you.
8
               MS. PENZA:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
9
               MS. HAJJAR:
10
               THE COURT:
                           Have a good evening, everyone.
11
               MR. GERAGOS:
                             Thank you.
12
               (Time noted:
                             4:50 p.m.)
13
               (End of proceedings.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```